



Government of **Western Australia**  
Department of **Health**

# *Food Act 2008 (WA)* Enforcement Agency Reporting 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

This document contains a summary of the reports from enforcement agencies on the performance of food regulatory functions for the period 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

## **Prepared by the Department of Health**

Contact the Environmental Health Directorate:

Address PO Box 8172  
PERTH BUSINESS CENTRE WA 6849

Email [foodsafety@health.wa.gov.au](mailto:foodsafety@health.wa.gov.au)

Phone +61 (08) 9222 2000

Website [www.health.wa.gov.au](http://www.health.wa.gov.au)

## Acknowledgements

The following agencies contributed to this report:

### Cities

Albany, Armadale, Bayswater, Belmont, Bunbury, Busselton, Canning, Cockburn, Fremantle, Gosnells, Greater Geraldton, Joondalup, Kalamunda, Kalgoorlie-Boulder, Karratha, Kwinana, Mandurah, Melville, Nedlands, Perth, Rockingham, South Perth, Stirling, Subiaco, Swan, Vincent and Wanneroo.

### Shires

Ashburton, Augusta-Margaret River, Beverley, Boddington, Boyup Brook, Bridgetown-Greenbushes, Brookton, Broome, Broomehill-Tambellup, Bruce Rock, Capel, Carnamah, Carnarvon, Chapman Valley, Chittering, Collie, Coorow, Corrigin, Cuballing, Cue, Cunderdin, Dalwallinu, Dandaragan, Dardanup, Denmark, Derby-West Kimberley, Donnybrook-Balingup, Dowerin, Dumbleyung, Dundas, East Pilbara, Esperance, Exmouth, Gingin, Gnowangerup, Goomalling, Halls Creek, Harvey, Irwin, Jerramungup, Katanning, Kellerberrin, Kojonup, Kondinin, Koorda, Kulin, Lake Grace, Laverton, Leonora, Manjimup, Meekatharra, Menzies, Merredin, Mingenew, Moora, Morawa, Mt Magnet, Mt Marshall, Mukinbudin, Mundaring, Murchison, Murray, Nannup, Narembreen, Narrogin, Ngaanyatjarraku, Northam, Northampton, Nungarin, Peppermint Grove, Perenjori, Pingelly, Plantagenet, Quairading, Ravensthorpe, Sandstone, Serpentine-Jarrahdale, Shark Bay, Tammin, Three Springs, Toodyay, Trayning, Upper Gascoyne, Victoria Plains, Wagin, Wandering, Waroona, West Arthur, Westonia, Wickiepin, Williams, Wiluna, Wongan-Ballidu, Woodanilling, Wyalkatchem, Wyndham/East Kimberley, Yalgoo, Yilgarn and York.

### Towns

Bassendean, Cambridge, Claremont, Cottesloe, East Fremantle, Mosman Park, Port Hedland and Victoria Park.

### Department of Health

Environmental Health Directorate

## Contents

Acknowledgements	2
Abbreviations, acronyms, initialisms and definitions	4
Introduction	5
Key reporting areas and findings	5
Food Act authorised officers	5
Registration and assessment of food businesses	5
Food Act compliance and enforcement activities	7
Regulatory food safety auditing	7
Primary production and processing standards	7
Food safety education and training	8
Key highlights of enforcement agencies	9
Conclusion	10
Appendices	11
Appendix 1: Map of WA metropolitan health regions	11
Appendix 2: Map of WA country health regions	12
Appendix 3: Enforcement agencies by health region	13
Appendix 4: Food Act Section 121 reporting questions 2017/18	14
Appendix 5: Summary of results from enforcement agencies on Food Act activities for 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018	17

## Abbreviations, acronyms, initialisms and definitions

Authorised officer	means a person appointed under Part 10 Division 3 of the Food Act
CEO	Chief Executive Officer (Director General) of the Department of Health
Code	Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code
Country health region	means the Goldfields, Great Southern, Kimberley, Midwest, Pilbara, South West and Wheatbelt health regions
Enforcement	means the use of regulatory options to achieve compliance
Enforcement agency	the CEO of Department of Health or local government
Food Act	<i>Food Act 2008 (WA)</i>
Food business	means a business, enterprise or activity that involves the handling of food intended for sale or the sale of food
Food Regulations	Food Regulations 2009 (WA)
FSMS	food safety management statement
FTE	full-time equivalent
Metropolitan health region	means the East, North and South Metropolitan health regions
PPP	Primary Production and Processing
WA	Western Australia

## Introduction

Section 121 of the *Food Act 2008 (WA)* (Food Act) requires enforcement agencies to report to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the Department of Health on the performance of functions under the Food Act. The CEO of the Department of Health has requested enforcement agencies to report annually. A report on the performance by enforcement agencies (including the CEO) of functions under the Food Act is produced by the Department of Health annually. Every three years a comprehensive report is produced with analysis of the information received from enforcement agencies over the three year period. In the interim years a summary of the reports from enforcement agencies is released. This document is a summary of the reports from enforcement agencies on the performance of food regulatory functions for the period 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018.

In Western Australia (WA) there are 138 enforcement agencies consisting of 137 local government agencies and the Department of Health. Of the 138 enforcement agencies, 135 reported to the Department of Health for the 2017/18 reporting period. Enforcement agencies reported on seven key reporting areas: Food Act authorised officers, registration and assessment of food businesses, compliance and enforcement activities, regulatory food safety auditing, primary production and processing standards, food safety education and training, and highlights.

## Key reporting areas and findings

### Food Act authorised officers

Authorised officers are appointed by enforcement agencies to perform regulatory functions under the Food Act, including powers to enter and inspect food premises and undertake enforcement action. In 2017/18 enforcement agencies reported that there were a total of 291.71 fulltime equivalent (FTE) authorised officers. In addition there were 48.85 FTE persons that assist with the discharge of duties of authorised officers. The number of authorised officers per enforcement agency ranged from 12.9 to 0.002 FTE.

Enforcement agencies appoint authorised officers under Part 10 Division 3 of the Food Act, having regard to the guidelines issued by the CEO and if they consider the person has appropriate qualifications and experience to perform the functions of an authorised officer. Enforcement agencies reported that 325 authorised officers had an environmental health degree, and 53 had 'other' qualifications. Other qualifications of authorised officers included qualifications in food science, food technology, public health, health surveying, and population health. There were 47 authorised officers that had audit competencies. The number of authorised officers with environmental health or other qualifications is greater than the number of FTE authorised officers due to sharing of authorised officers between enforcement agencies.

Authorised officer – meat inspectors are appointed by enforcement agencies to carry out the ante-mortem and post-mortem inspection of animals and carcasses as required by Regulation 21 of the Food Regulations 2009 (Food Regulations). Enforcement agencies reported a total of 19.05 FTE meat inspectors in 2017/18.

Recruitment difficulties were experienced by 14 enforcement agencies (10.4% of the 135 enforcement agencies that reported). The majority of these enforcement agencies (10) were in country health regions.


### Registration and assessment of food businesses

Under Part 9 of the Food Act, food businesses must either register or notify with the appropriate enforcement agency. There were a total of 23 989 food businesses either registered or notified


under the Food Act with the enforcement agencies that reported in 2017/18. The number of food businesses per enforcement agency ranged from 2 to 1415 food businesses.

Enforcement agencies reported that a total of 31 640 onsite compliance assessments were conducted of food businesses during the reporting period. The average number of onsite assessments per food business during the year was 1.3. There was an average of 1 assessment per food business in county health regions, and an average of 1.5 assessments per food business in metropolitan health regions during 2017/18. Frequency of compliance assessments will however vary depending on the risk rating of the food business. Enforcement agencies are encouraged to adopt a risk based approach to assessment frequency whereby the greater the risk of the food business the greater the assessment frequency.

Enforcement agencies may undertake risk profiling and categorisation of food businesses to assist in determining the frequency and type of assessment of food businesses. Of the enforcement agencies that reported, 99.3% had undertaken risk profiling of food businesses. The risk rating was reported for 23 840 food businesses. The following chart gives the percentage of these food businesses by risk rating.



Information on the principal type of activity of food businesses was provided by all enforcement agencies that reported. The following chart gives the number of food businesses by principal type of activity.



## Food Act compliance and enforcement activities

Enforcement agencies may adopt a compliance and enforcement policy to aid consistent application of enforcement provisions. There were 46 enforcement agencies with a compliance and enforcement policy in place, which is 34% of the enforcement agencies that reported.

There are a number of compliance and enforcement tools available under the food legislation including improvement notices, infringement notices, prohibition orders, seizure powers, and legal action through the courts. The following is a summary of the number of enforcement options taken during 2017/18 and the outcome of that enforcement action:

- 27 prosecutions were instigated, 13 were successful, 0 were unsuccessful and 17 were ongoing.
- 7 seizures were performed.
- 1237 improvement notices were served, 899 were complied with, 252 were not complied with and 327 were ongoing.
- 385 infringement notices were served, 275 were paid, 5 were referred to court, 34 were withdrawn and 60 were ongoing.
- 34 prohibition orders were served, 29 were complied with, 1 was not complied with, 0 were referred to the State Administrative Tribunal and 3 were ongoing.

Due to anomalies in the data reported by some enforcement agencies, the number of enforcement action outcomes does not always equal the number of enforcement options taken.

## Regulatory food safety auditing

Food businesses that are required to comply with Standard 3.3.1- *Food Safety Programs for Food Service to Vulnerable Persons* of the Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code (the Code) must implement a documented food safety program and are subject to regulatory food safety audits under Part 8 – Auditing of the Food Act. Enforcement agencies have a role to verify that food safety programs are substantially compliant with the requirements of the Code, after which food businesses must commence being audited.

Enforcement agencies reported a total of 955 food businesses captured by Standard 3.3.1 of the Code. Of these food businesses 798 (83.6%) had a food safety program that had been verified by the enforcement agency, and 689 (72.1%) had commenced regulatory food safety auditing. During 2017/18 there were 963 regulatory food safety audits conducted, of which 43 led to compliance and enforcement action.

Anomalies were detected in 17 enforcement agency's data for regulatory food safety auditing indicating a possible reporting mistake, misunderstanding of the questions or not implementing the regulatory requirements. The Department of Health is following-up directly with these enforcement agencies and providing guidance as required.

## Primary production and processing standards

Standard 4.2.2 – Primary Production and Processing Standard for Poultry Meat (Standard 4.2.2), Standard 4.2.5 – Primary Production and Processing Standard for Eggs and Egg Product (Standard 4.2.5) and Standard 4.2.6 – Production and Processing Standard for Seed Sprouts (Standard 4.2.6) were developed to strengthen food safety and traceability throughout the food supply chain. Food businesses captured by these Standards are required to register under the Food Act and operate according to a food safety management statement (FSMS). Enforcement agencies have a role to approve or recognise FSMS and conduct assessments in relation to the relevant primary production and processing standard.




The following table summarises the information reported by enforcement agencies on the implementation of Standard 4.2.2, Standard 4.2.5 and Standard 4.2.6.

	Total number of food businesses captured by the Standard	Number of food businesses (and percentage of total food businesses) that have registered under the Food Act	Number of assessments that have been conducted in relation to the Standard	Number (and percentage of total food businesses) of food safety management statements that have been approved or recognised
Standard 4.2.2 - poultry	42	34 (81%)	28	23 (54.8%)
Standard 4.2.5 - eggs	77	74 (96.1%)	56	48 (62.3%)
Standard 4.2.6 – seed sprouts	3	2 (66.7%)	2	0

### Food safety education and training

Enforcement agencies may provide food safety education and training to assist in achieving regulatory compliance and positive food safety outcomes.

Of the 135 enforcement agencies that reported, 89.6% advised that they provided food safety education and training. Enforcement agencies reported a number of different types of food safety education and training. These can be generally categorised in to four types: workshops, seminars and presentations; online training; newsletters, factsheets and guidelines; and targeted, ad hoc, or on-demand training. The following chart gives the number of enforcement agencies that provided each type of food safety education and training.



## **Key highlights of enforcement agencies**

The type of highlights reported by enforcement agencies cover a broad range of topics and can be generally categorised in to the following: food safety education and awareness; staff resourcing; food monitoring; food business monitoring, compliance and enforcement; administration; food safety and nutrition related public health initiatives; and food safety recognition programs.

### **Food safety education and awareness**

There were 25 comments reported about food safety education and awareness. These included the provision of food safety workshops, presentations, seminars, online training, newsletters and guidance documents for food businesses and the general public, with one enforcement agency developing animated food safety videos. Food safety education and awareness topics included general food safety information, seasonal advice (such as festive food safety education), hand hygiene for the public, food allergens, food safety for temporary food vendors/market stalls, food premises fit out guidance, food labelling, and risk management.

### **Staff resourcing**

There were four comments regarding staff resourcing. These included an increase in staff resourcing as well as challenges with insufficient resourcing.

### **Food monitoring**

Nine comments regarding food monitoring activities included participating in Local Health Authority Analytical Committee and Department of Health food monitoring programs, as well as local government run food monitoring initiatives. These included monitoring of raw egg sauces, water activity in dried meat products, gluten in non-gluten products, as well as routine microbiological and chemical sampling of food.

### **Food business monitoring, compliance and enforcement**

The most common highlights concerned food business monitoring, compliance and enforcement activities (42 enforcement agencies). Highlights included increased inspection rates, improved compliance by food businesses, a greater risk-based focus on compliance activities, increased enforcement action resulting in improved compliance, developing a compliance and enforcement policy, and completing all routine compliance assessments.

### **Administration**

There were 14 enforcement agencies that reported highlights on administrative matters including implementing mobile electronic inspection systems, improving internal administrative procedures, record keeping and databases, and introduction of fees.

### **Food safety and nutrition related public health initiatives**

Five enforcement agencies provided highlights of food safety and nutrition related public health initiatives. Initiatives included promoting healthy eating and understanding labels, healthy cooking, environmental health officer participation in a nutrition program for young people, developing a 'healthy mobile food vendors' guide', and nutrition promotion at events.

### **Food safety recognition programs**

There were four enforcement agencies that reported highlights about food safety recognition programs run within their jurisdiction. These included a "five star food rating inspection system", "scores on doors" programs, and commencing scoping work for the potential of a food business scoring program.


## Conclusion

This report summarises the Food Act section 121 reports from enforcement agencies for the 2017/18 reporting period. It provides insight in to the implementation of the food legislation by enforcement agencies in WA. The Department of Health uses the information reported by enforcement agencies to identify issues and provide support to assist with implementation of the food legislation in WA.


The next comprehensive report on the Food Act will include analysis of the reports from enforcement agencies of the three year period 2016/17 to 2018/19, and include detail of the food regulation activities of the Department of Health.

# Appendices

## Appendix 1: Map of WA metropolitan health regions



## Appendix 2: Map of WA country health regions



## **Appendix 3: Enforcement agencies by health region**

### **East metropolitan**

Cities of Armadale, Bayswater, Belmont, Gosnells, Kalamunda, Perth, Swan; Towns of Bassendean, Victoria Park; Shires of Mundaring, Serpentine-Jarrahdale.

### **Goldfields**

City of Kalgoorlie-Boulder. Shires of Coolgardie, Dundas, Esperance, Laverton, Leonora, Menzies, Ngaanyatjaraku.

### **Great Southern**

City of Albany. Shires of Broomehill-Tambellup, Cranbrook, Denmark, Gnowangerup, Jerramungup, Katanning, Kent, Kojonup, Plantagenet, Ravensthorpe, Woodanilling.

### **Kimberley**

Shires of Broome, Derby-West Kimberley, Halls Creek, Wyndham-East Kimberley.

### **Midwest**

Cities of Greater Geraldton. Shires of Carnamah, Carnarvon, Chapman Valley, Coorow, Cue, Exmouth, Irwin, Meekatharra, Mingenew, Morawa, Mt Magnet, Murchison, Northampton, Perenjori, Sandstone, Shark Bay, Three Springs, Upper Gascoyne, Wiluna, Yalgoo.

### **North metropolitan**

Cities of Joondalup, Nedlands, Stirling, Subiaco, Vincent, Wanneroo. Towns of Cambridge, Claremont, Cottesloe, Mosman Park. Shire of Peppermint Grove.

### **Pilbara**

City of Karratha, Town of Port Hedland, Shires of Ashburton, East Pilbara.

### **South metropolitan**

Cities of Canning, Cockburn, Fremantle, Mandurah, Melville, Rockingham, South Perth. Towns of East Fremantle, Kwinana. Shires of Murray, Waroona.

### **South West**

Cities of Bunbury, Busselton, Shires of Augusta-Margaret River, Boyup Brook, Bridgetown-Greenbushes, Capel, Collie, Dardanup, Donnybrook-Balingup, Harvey, Manjimup, Nannup.

### **Wheatbelt**

Shires of Beverley, Boddington, Brookton, Bruce Rock, Chittering, Corrigin, Cuballing, Cunderdin, Dalwallinu, Dandaragan, Dowerin, Dumbleyung, Gingin, Goomalling, Kellerberrin, Kondinin, Koorda, Kulin, Lake Grace, Merredin, Moora, Mt Marshall, Mukinbudin, Narembeen, Narrogin, Northam, Nungarin, Pingelly, Quairading, Tammin, Toodyay, Trayning, Victoria Plains, Wagin, Wandering, West Arthur, Westonia, Wickepin, Williams, Wongan-Ballidu, Wyalkatchem, Yilgarn, York.

## Appendix 4: Food Act Section 121 reporting questions 2017/18

**Part B** The information gathered in this section relates to your core functions under the Food Act

### Food Act authorised officers<sup>1</sup>

1.	What is the number of fulltime equivalent (FTE) <sup>2</sup> Food Act authorised officers?				
2.	What is the number of FTE <sup>2</sup> persons that assist with the discharge of duties of Food Act authorised officers?				
3.	What is the number of FTE <sup>2</sup> Meat Inspectors?				
4.	What are the qualifications of Food Act authorised officers (please specify the number of authorised officers with the following qualifications)				
	Environmental Health degree		Audit competencies		
	Other (number)		(please specify other qualifications)		
5.	Has the enforcement agency experienced recruiting difficulties during the reporting period?			Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
				No	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Registration and assessment of food businesses

6.	What is the total number of food businesses in the enforcement agency's jurisdiction <sup>3</sup> ?				
7.	How many onsite assessments <sup>4</sup> were conducted during this reporting period?				
8.	What is the number of food businesses by risk rating?				
	High		Medium		Low
	Very low/exempt		Not determined		Other
9.	What is the number of food businesses by principal type of activity?				
	Manufacturer/processor		Hotel/motel/guesthouse		
	Retailer		Pub/tavern		
	Food service		Canteen/kitchen		
	Distributor		Hospital/nursing home		
	Importer		Childcare centre		
	Packer		Home delivery		
	Storage		Mobile food operator		

<sup>1</sup> The response to these questions should be in line with the guidelines on the appointment of authorised officers issued by the CEO of the Department of Health which can be viewed at [http://ww2.health.wa.gov.au/Articles/A\\_E/CEO-approved-documents-on-food-businesses](http://ww2.health.wa.gov.au/Articles/A_E/CEO-approved-documents-on-food-businesses)

<sup>2</sup> An authorised officer working one day a week is considered to be 0.2FTE.

<sup>3</sup> Include all food businesses that are registered with the enforcement agency and those exempt from registration (notified only). Do not include temporary and mobile food businesses from other jurisdictions.

<sup>4</sup> The process of reviewing a food business onsite in order to confirm compliance with the Food Act, Food Regulations 2009 (WA) or the Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code.

Transport		Market Stall	
Restaurant/café		Charitable/community organisation	
Snack bar/takeaway		Temporary food premises	
Caterer		Primary processor <sup>5</sup>	
Meals-on-wheels		Not determined	
Primary producer <sup>5</sup>			

### Food Act compliance and enforcement activities

10.	Does the enforcement agency have a compliance and enforcement policy in place?	Yes No	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
11.	Number of prosecutions instigated		of which: number successful
	of which: number unsuccessful		of which: number ongoing
12.	Number of seizures performed		
13.	Number of improvement notices served		of which: number complied with
	of which: number not complied with		of which: number ongoing
14.	Number of infringement notices served		of which: number paid
	of which: number referred to court		of which: number withdrawn
	of which: number ongoing		
15.	Number of prohibition orders served		of which: number complied with
	of which: number not complied with		of which: number referred to SAT <sup>6</sup>
	of which: number ongoing		

### Regulatory food safety auditing

16.	What is the total number of food businesses captured under Standard 3.3.1?	
17.	In relation to the response to question 16, what is the total number of food safety programs that have been verified as of 30 June 2018? <sup>7,8</sup>	
18.	In relation to the response to question 17, how many of these businesses have had at least their first regulatory food safety audit as of 30 June 2018? <sup>8</sup>	
19.	How many regulatory food safety audits were conducted between 1 July 2017 and 30 June 2018?	
20.	How many regulatory food safety audits led to compliance and enforcement action between 1 July 2017 and 30 June 2018?	

<sup>5</sup> Primary producers and processor that are captured under Chapter 4 of the Food Standards Code

<sup>6</sup> State Administrative Tribunal (SAT)

<sup>7</sup> Leading to the setting of priority classification and audit frequency as per Food Act Section 100

<sup>8</sup> Since commencement of Part 8 of the Food Act on 23 October 2010



## Primary Production and Processing (PPP) Standards<sup>9</sup>

		Eggs	Poultry	Sprouts
21.	What is the total number of food businesses captured under Standard 4.2.2 (Poultry), Standard 4.2.5 (Eggs) and Standard 4.2.6 (Seed sprouts)?			
22.	How many of these are registered?			
23.	How many assessments have been conducted in relation to the PPP Standards?			
24.	How many food safety management statements have been approved or recognised in relation to the PPP Standards?			

### Part C

The Food Act has given enforcement agencies greater autonomy to implement food related public health initiatives in addition to their core Food Act functions. This section provides you with the opportunity to share these initiatives and to suggest future policy considerations.

25.	Does the enforcement agency provide food safety education or training?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
		No	<input type="checkbox"/>
	If yes please specify:		
26.	Key highlights of the last 12 months		

<sup>9</sup> For further information a fact sheet on the primary production and processing standards for eggs, poultry and sprouts can be viewed at [http://ww2.health.wa.gov.au/Articles/N\\_R/Primary-production-and-processing-standard-for-eggs-poultry-and-sprouts](http://ww2.health.wa.gov.au/Articles/N_R/Primary-production-and-processing-standard-for-eggs-poultry-and-sprouts)

### Appendix 5: Summary of results from enforcement agencies on Food Act activities for 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018

<b>Authorised Officers</b>		East metro	Goldfields	Great Southern	Kimberley	Midwest	North metro	Pilbara	South metro	South West	Wheatbelt	Department of Health	<b>TOTAL</b>
Question													
Number of enforcement agencies that reported		11	7	10	4	21	11	4	11	12	43	1	<b>135</b>
Number of FTE Food Act authorised officers		64.3	8	9.58	11	9.75	57.85	11	59.7	31.25	23.89	5.4	<b>291.72</b>
Number of FTE persons that assist with the discharge of duties of Food Act authorised officers		15.2	2	5.81	0.2	5.49	6.9	1	5.4	2.7	4.15	0	<b>48.85</b>
Number of FTE authorised officers - meat inspectors		0.5	0	0.16	2	4.14	0	0	0	4.4	4.85	3	<b>19.05</b>
Qualifications of authorised officers	Environmental Health degree	78	6	16	11	14	60	9	63	31	32	5	<b>325</b>
	Audit competencies	15	2	4	0	1	4.2	3	9	2	6.04	1	<b>47.24</b>
	Other	2	4	4	0	12	3	2	3	7	15	1	<b>53</b>
Number of enforcement agencies that experienced recruiting difficulties		1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	0	4	0	<b>14</b>

<b>Registration and assessment of food businesses</b>		East metro	Goldfields	Great Southern	Kimberley	Midwest	North metro	Pilbara	South metro	South West	Wheatbelt	Department of Health	<b>TOTAL</b>
Question													
Number of enforcement agencies that reported		11	7	10	4	21	11	4	11	12	43	1	<b>135</b>
Total number of food businesses		6074	603	698	711	797	4759	586	5577	2808	1027	349	<b>23 989</b>
Number of assessments conducted		9663	1297	897	431	924	6800	706	7695	2088	931	208	<b>31 640</b>
Number of food businesses by risk rating	High	574	127	90	48	88	419	46	897	151	50	171	<b>2661</b>
	Medium	3427	247	459	416	492	2957	339	2680	1284	626	177	<b>13 104</b>
	Low	1101	112	149	76	194	867	106	1141	692	211	1	<b>4650</b>
	Very low/exempt	663	109	61	116	24	481	95	620	668	144	0	<b>2981</b>
	Not determined	13	0	30	0	0	39	0	0	2	7	0	<b>91</b>
	Other	13	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	315	1	2	0
Number of food businesses by principal type of activity	Manufacturer/processor	313	35	28	11	38	284	1	381	474	116	0	<b>1681</b>
	Hotel/motel/guest house	99	22	56	43	49	21	27	46	122	104	2	<b>591</b>
	Retailer	492	79	60	106	99	669	23	753	370	120	2	<b>2773</b>
	Pub/tavern	70	28	19	16	35	75	14	84	62	71	0	<b>474</b>
	Food service	31	18	5	27	4	146	64	109	43	23	0	<b>470</b>
	Canteen/kitchen	281	62	38	48	96	292	56	244	140	76	0	<b>1333</b>
	Distributor	54	10	7	4	12	38	2	61	19	5	0	<b>212</b>
	Hospital/nursing home	55	8	13	10	6	95	5	85	21	17	83	<b>398</b>
	Importer	6	0	0	3	0	1	2	22	1	1	0	<b>36</b>
	Childcare centre	322	26	14	15	17	256	7	386	73	18	0	<b>1134</b>

<b>Registration and assessment of food businesses</b>		East metro	Goldfields	Great Southern	Kimberley	Midwest	North metro	Pilbara	South metro	South West	Wheatbelt	Department of Health	<b>TOTAL</b>
Question													
Number of enforcement agencies that reported		11	7	10	4	21	11	4	11	12	43	1	<b>135</b>
Number of food businesses by principal type of activity	Packer	9	1	0	0	0	4	0	41	9	1	0	<b>65</b>
	Home delivery	144	0	4	3	1	3	0	1	12	1	0	<b>169</b>
	Storage	53	1	0	2	1	30	0	73	30	1	0	<b>191</b>
	Mobile food operator	309	36	31	55	55	207	19	208	143	48	1	<b>1112</b>
	Transport	15	6	1	2	0	1	2	2	9	1	3	<b>42</b>
	Market stall	252	53	71	31	19	377	1	206	157	39	0	<b>1206</b>
	Restaurant/cafe	949	72	142	90	128	1183	60	1349	431	156	17	<b>4577</b>
	Charitable/community organisation	119	35	57	37	12	108	61	193	367	75	0	<b>1064</b>
	Snack bar/takeaway	1015	86	38	47	103	790	26	834	205	91	4	<b>3239</b>
	Temporary food premises	1990	0	4	109	148	49	19	574	56	39	1	<b>2989</b>
	Caterer	80	10	14	5	8	119	7	61	73	31	0	<b>408</b>
	Primary processor	25	11	13	0	9	5	0	34	35	20	69	<b>221</b>
	Meal-on-wheels	2	7	3	6	0	2	0	5	4	2	0	<b>31</b>
	Not determined	785	0	48	113	1	380	0	139	21	4	0	<b>1491</b>
Primary producer	43	11	12	0	21	4	1	12	39	23	167	<b>333</b>	

<b>Compliance and enforcement activities</b>		East metro	Goldfields	Great Southern	Kimberley	Midwest	North metro	Pilbara	South metro	South West	Wheatbelt	Department of Health	<b>TOTAL</b>
Question													
Number of enforcement agencies that reported		11	7	10	4	21	11	4	11	12	43	1	<b>135</b>
Compliance and enforcement policy in place (number of enforcement agencies)		7	3	5	3	2	6	1	4	5	9	1	<b>46</b>
Prosecutions	number instigated	12	0	0	0	0	3	0	8	0	4	0	<b>27</b>
	number successful	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	2	0	<b>13</b>
	number unsuccessful	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>
	number ongoing	6	0	0	0	0	2	0	6	0	3	0	<b>17</b>
Seizure powers performed		1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	1	0	<b>7</b>
Improvement notices	number served	751	18	5	0	24	115	20	160	18	35	91	<b>1237</b>
	number complied with	514	9	2	0	16	110	19	138	15	25	51	<b>899</b>
	number not complied with	230	4	0	0	2	6	1	7	0	2	0	<b>252</b>
	number ongoing	226	9	3	0	8	10	1	10	3	17	40	<b>327</b>
Infringement notices	served	183	6	2	1	1	53	4	111	3	5	16	<b>385</b>
	paid	126	5	0	0	1	35	4	85	3	5	11	<b>275</b>
	referred to court	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	<b>5</b>
	withdrawn	19	1	1	0	0	3	0	9	0	0	1	<b>34</b>
	number ongoing	35	0	1	1	0	5	0	14	0	0	4	<b>60</b>

Prohibition orders	number served	24	0	0	0	0	3	1	3	2	0	1	<b>34</b>
	number complied with	22	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	1	0	0	<b>29</b>
	number not complied with	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>1</b>
	number referred to SAT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>
	number ongoing	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	<b>3</b>

<b>Regulatory food safety auditing</b>													
Question	East metro	Goldfields	Great Southern	Kimberley	Midwest	North metro	Pilbara	South metro	South West	Wheatbelt	Department of Health	<b>TOTAL</b>	
Number of enforcement agencies that reported	11	7	10	4	21	11	4	11	12	43	1	<b>135</b>	
Total number of food businesses captured under Standard 3.3.1	238	36	45	20	14	206	15	217	41	40	83	<b>955</b>	
Total number of food safety programs verified	203	11	47	17	13	201	12	190	37	15	52	<b>798</b>	
Number of food businesses that have had a regulatory food safety audit	158	11	44	17	11	195	12	180	14	24	23	<b>689</b>	
Number of regulatory food safety audits during 2017/18	274	12	51	25	11	206	12	257	58	22	35	<b>963</b>	
Number of regulatory food safety audits that led to enforcement action	4	0	5	0	0	0	2	18	10	1	3	<b>43</b>	

<b>Primary production and processing standards</b>		East metro	Goldfields	Great Southern	Kimberley	Midwest	North metro	Pilbara	South metro	South West	Wheatbelt	Department of Health	<b>TOTAL</b>
Question													
Number of enforcement agencies that reported		11	7	10	4	21	11	4	11	12	43	1	<b>135</b>
Standard 4.2.5 – eggs and egg product	Number of food businesses	11	4	4	0	1	1	0	12	23	21	0	<b>77</b>
	Number registered	11	4	4	0	1	0	0	12	22	20	0	<b>74</b>
	Number of assessments	9	7	2	0	0	0	0	11	10	17	0	<b>56</b>
	Number of FSMS recognised	8	0	1	0	1	0	0	9	15	14	0	<b>48</b>
Standard 4.2.2 – poultry meat	Number of food businesses	18	0	2	0	0	8	0	3	6	5	0	<b>42</b>
	Number registered	13	0	2	0	0	8	0	3	5	3	0	<b>34</b>
	Number of assessments	9	0	1	0	0	11	0	3	3	1	0	<b>28</b>
	Number of FSMS recognised	13	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5	1	0	<b>23</b>
Standard 4.2.6 – seed sprouts	Number of food businesses	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	<b>3</b>
	Number registered	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	<b>2</b>
	Number of assessments	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	<b>2</b>
	Number of FSMS recognised	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>

<b>Highlights of enforcement agency activities</b>		East metro	Goldfields	Great Southern	Kimberley	Midwest	North metro	Pilbara	South metro	South West	Wheatbelt	Department of Health	<b>TOTAL</b>
Question													
Number of enforcement agencies that reported		11	7	10	4	21	11	4	11	12	43	1	<b>135</b>
Number of enforcement agencies that provide food safety education or training		11	6	8	4	17	11	4	10	12	37	1	<b>121</b>
Food safety training or education provided (number of enforcement agencies)	Workshops/seminars/presentations	3	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	<b>8</b>
	Online training	11	5	3	3	13	11	4	8	11	34	0	<b>103</b>
	Newsletters/fact sheets/guidelines	4	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	1	2	0	<b>12</b>
	Targeted/ad hoc/on demand training	2	1	5	2	6	2	1	2	2	4	1	<b>28</b>
Key highlights (number of enforcement agencies that provided comment on each topic)	Food safety education and awareness	1	2	2	0	1	3	1	1	1	12	1	<b>25</b>
	Staff resourcing	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	<b>4</b>
	Monitoring programs	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	1	0	1	<b>9</b>
	Food business monitoring/compliance/enforcement	5	2	3	0	9	4	2	3	4	9	1	<b>42</b>
	Administration	2	1	0	0	1	2	2	1	3	2	0	<b>14</b>
	Nutrition related public health initiatives	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	<b>5</b>
	Food safety recognition programs	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	<b>4</b>



**This document can be made available in alternative formats  
on request for a person with disability.**

© Department of Health 2019

Copyright to this material is vested in the State of Western Australia unless otherwise indicated. Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of private study, research, criticism or review, as permitted under the provisions of the *Copyright Act 1968*, no part may be reproduced or re-used for any purposes whatsoever without written permission of the State of Western Australia.