



## **Public Submission Cover Sheet**

Please complete this sheet and submit with any attachments to the Sustainable Health Review Secretariat

Your Personal Details  This information will be used only for contacting you in relation to this submission	
Title	Mr
Organisation	
First Name(s)	William
Surname	Keane
Contact Details	
Publication of Submissions	
Please note all Public Submissions will be published unless otherwise selected below	
☐ I do not want my submission published	
☐ I would like my submission to be published but remain anonymous	

## **Submission Guidance**

You are encouraged to address the following question:

In the context of the Sustainable Health Review Terms of Reference listed below, what is needed to develop a more sustainable, patient centred health system in WA?

- Leveraging existing investment in Primary, Secondary and Tertiary healthcare, as well as new initiatives to improve patient centred service delivery, pathways and transition;
- The mix of services provided across the system, including gaps in service provision, sub-acute, step-down, community and other out-of-hospital services across WA to deliver care in the most appropriate setting and to maximise health outcomes and value to the public;
- Ways to encourage and drive digital innovation, the use of new technology, research and data to support patient centred care and improved performance;
- Opportunities to drive partnerships across sectors and all levels of government to reduce duplication and to deliver integrated and coordinated care;
- Ways to drive improvements in safety and quality for patients, value and financial sustainability, including cost drivers, allocative and technical efficiencies;
- The key enablers of new efficiencies and change, including, research, productivity, teaching and training, culture, leadership development, procurement and improved performance monitoring;
- Any further opportunities concerning patient centred service delivery and the sustainability of the WA health system.





## **Submissions Response Field**

Please type your response into the field below. Alternatively you may provide your submissions as a separate attachment (Suggested Maximum 5 pages).

, , ,
1. Incentives for practitioners to allocate sufficient time to consultation and review of patient history.
2. Use of technology to deliver greater efficiency, particularly in relation to test results. GPs should be able to access and work with patient history data, so they do not order unnecessary tests.
3. There is a real lack of effective competition in important services: health funds and pathology providers participate in oligopolies.